arXiv:1611.03324v1 [physics.gen-ph] 30 Sep 2016

Effect of Noncommutativity of Space-time on Zitterbewegung

Ravikant Verma* and Aritra N Bose†
S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences,
JD Block, Sector III, Salt Lake, Kolkata 700106, India

November 11, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we present the result of our investigation on the modification of Zitterbewegung due to the noncommutativity of the space-time. First, we study the effect of noncommutativity of Moyal space-time on Zitterbewegung to find that the noncommutativity of Moyal space-time does not affect the Zitterbewegung. Secondly, we see the effect of κ-deformation of the space-time on Zitterbewegung. For this, we start with the κ-deformed Dirac theory and using κ-deformed Dirac equation valid upto first order in deformation parameter a, we find the modified Zitterbewegung due to κ-deformation of space-time valid upto first order in the deformation parameter a. In the limit $a \to 0$, we get back the commutative result. And finally, we find the modification of the Zitterbewegung due to the Magueijo-Smolin approach of doubly special relativity(DSR) and in the limit $E_p \to \infty$, we get back the result in the commutative space-time.

1 Introduction

Studies of various aspects of noncommutative space-times, and construction and analysis of physical models on different types of noncommutative space-times are being carried out vigorously in recent times[1, 2, 3, 4]. These recent activities were initiated after the observation that the low energy effective

*Email:ravikant.verma@bose.res.in
†Email:anb@bose.res.in

field theory of a specific string theory model is a field theory living on the Moyal space-time[3]. The Moyal space-time is defined such that the coordinate obey

$$[\hat{x}^\mu,\ \hat{x}^\nu] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}, \tag{1}$$

where $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ is a constant, antisymmetric matrix. The observation that a different limiting procedure of deriving low energy effective theory leads to another field theory model defined on the commutative space-time suggested the existence of a map that relates these two effective theories. This map, known as Seiberg-Witten map was also derived in[3]. This map allowed the mapping of physical models defined in Moyal space-time to corresponding models in the commutative space-time. Functions defined on such a space-time can be mapped to functions defined on the commutative space-time and vice versa using Wigner map/Weyl-Moyal map. Compatibility requirement of the product of functions on noncommutative space-time under Weyl-Moyal map showed that one can use functions defined on commutative space-time but with a modified product rule called $\star$-product. Thus one can analyse physical models either by working directly in terms of functions defined on the Moyal space-time (where one treats these functions as operators since these are functions of $\hat{x}_\mu$ satisfying Eqn.(1)) or use functions of commutative coordinates but with the pointwise multiplication replaced by Moyal $\star$-product. Following the noncommutativity[5], there were many developments in quantum gravity and string theory, exploiting this notion of space-time whose coordinates do not commute. In the vicinity of the Planck length /energy scale, where quantum gravity effects are expected to be prominent, the structure of the space-time may undergo radical changes. For example, the space-time may become noncommutative in nature, where the space-time coordinates now become operator-valued satisfying the noncommutative algebra. Simplest examples include Moyal space-time, fuzzy sphere and κ-Minkowski space-time etc. Another possibility is to deformed special theory of the relativity by incorporating the planck length/Planck energy as a new constant of nature, apart from the speed of light as it done in the doubly special theory of relativity(DSR). In this paper, we investigate how does the well known Zitterbewegung phenomenon[6, 7, 8] gets affected in these scenarios. The phenomenon of the Zitterbewegung came over in the study from the starting days of the relativistic quantum mechanics. Dirac and Schrodinger studied it and realised that the charged particle experiences Zitterbewegung due to the interference with particle-antiparticle pairs. Zitterbewegung is one of the very important results shedding light to trajectory of relativistic particle, which is not going to follow simple straight line as in the classical mechanics. It has the lot of oscillations. The size of the oscillations are of

the order of the Compton wavelength. Thus, any modification of Zitterbewegung due to noncommutativity will be interesting, as noncommutativity is expected to modify gravity as well as quantum theory of fields at Planck scale.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we summarise the Moyal space-time algebra and find that noncommutativity of the Moyal space-time does not affect the Zitterbewegung. In section 3, we give a brief summary of κ-deformed Dirac theory and using κ-deformed Dirac equation[9, 10] valid upto first order in the deformation parameter a, we analyse the effect of κ-deformation on the Zitterbewegung in section 4. In section 5, we summarise the Magueijo-Smolin(MS) approach of the doubly special theory of relativity, which is the modified theory of the special relativity and using this approach, one can write the DSR Dirac equation in MS base. Using this modified Dirac equation, we find the modification of the Zitterbewegung in the MS base. We present our concluding remarks in section 6.

2 Moyal space-time and effect on Zitterbewegung

Formulation of quantum mechanics on Moyal space-time can be achieved through several others formalisms present in the literature, but the one we are going to adopt is called Hilbert-Schmidt operator formalism, developed in[11, 12]. The basic requirement to this need is to obtain an unitary representation of the Moyal space-time coordinate algebra (1). This can be achieved by introducing an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_c$ and another 'quantum' Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_q$. The physical states belong to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_q$. They are described by Hilbert-Schmidt operators acting on the $\mathcal{H}_c$ and is denoted by a "round ket" $|.)$. The operators $\hat{X_\mu}$ and $\hat{P_\mu}$ is defined to act on this quantum Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_q$ and the action of the operators are identified as

$$\hat{X_\mu}|\psi) = |\hat{x_\mu}\psi) \quad ; \quad \hat{P_\mu}|\psi) = -(\theta^{-1})_{\mu\nu}|[\hat{x^\nu}, \hat{\psi}]), \tag{2}$$

where the operator $\hat{x_\mu}$ act on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_c$. The operators $\hat{X}^i$ and $\hat{P}^i$ are the observables acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_q$ and satisfying the noncommutative Heisenberg algebra as[13]

$$[\hat{X}^i,\ \hat{X}^j] = i\theta^{ij}; \quad [\hat{X}^i,\ \hat{P}^j] = i\delta^{ij}; \quad [\hat{P}^i,\ \hat{P}^j] = 0. \tag{3}$$

Consequently with all these realizations the non-relativistic quantum mechanics was formulated in[11, 12]. Further, this formulation was extended to

the relativistic one in[14]. We sketch out some of the essential parts of their constructions.

The important part in a relativistic formulation is to identify the spinors and this is done in a straight-forward manner by writing their action

$$\hat{\psi}|z\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_1|z\rangle \\ \hat{\psi}_2|z\rangle \\ \hat{\psi}_3|z\rangle \\ \hat{\psi}_4|z\rangle \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

The derivative operation in this non-commutative space has to be modified and it is replaced by commutation as both differentiation and commutation belong to a more general class of operations, namely derivation. In Moyal space-time, derivative is therefore defined as

$$\hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\psi} = -i(\theta^{-1})_{\mu\nu} \left[\hat{x^\nu}, \hat{\psi}\right], \tag{5}$$

satisfying

$$\hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{x^\rho} = \delta^\rho_\mu, \tag{6}$$

as expected. One should note that with this definition, we essentially retain the relation $\hat{P}_j = -i\hat{\partial}_j$, similar to the commutative case. The free noncommutative Dirac equation on Moyal space-time can then be written as

$$\left(i\hat{\partial\!\!\!/} + m\right)\hat{\psi} = 0. \tag{7}$$

Again, formally it is the same Dirac equation as the commutative one with only the identification (5). Proceeding in the same way as before we can rewrite (7) as

$$i\gamma^0\hat{\partial}_0\hat{\psi} = -i\gamma^i\hat{\partial}_i\hat{\psi} - m\hat{\psi} = -\gamma^i(\theta^{-1})_{i\lambda}[\hat{x}^\lambda, \hat{\psi}] - m\hat{\psi}. \tag{8}$$

On further simplification,

$$i\frac{\partial\hat{\psi}}{\partial t} = \left[\alpha^i(\theta^{-1})_{i\lambda}\theta^{\lambda\rho}\hat{P}_\rho - m\beta\right]\hat{\psi} = \left[\alpha^i\hat{P}_i - m\beta\right]\hat{\psi}. \tag{9}$$

Here $H = \alpha^i\hat{P}_i - m\beta$ is the Dirac Hamiltonian and now using Heisenberg picture, the operator $\hat{X}^i(t)$ follow the equation as

$$\frac{d\hat{X}^i}{dt} = i[H,\ \hat{X}^i] = \alpha^i, \tag{10}$$

where $\alpha^i = \gamma^0\gamma^i$. To add time-dependence to α^i , one implements the Heisenberg picture as

$$\vec{\alpha}(t) = e^{iHt}\vec{\alpha}(0)e^{-iHt},$$
$$\alpha^i(\delta t) = \alpha^i + i\delta t[H,\ \alpha^i]. \tag{11}$$

Using the Dirac Hamiltonian, one can find

$$\frac{d\alpha^i}{dt} + 2i\alpha^i = 2i\hat{P}^i. \tag{12}$$

After straight forward calculation as in commutative space-time, we can easily find

$$\hat{X}^i(t) = \hat{X}^i(0) + \hat{P}^i\hat{H}^{-1}t + \frac{i}{2}\left[\alpha^i(0) - \hat{P}^i\hat{H}^{-1}\right]\hat{H}^{-1}\left[e^{-2i\hat{H}t} - 1\right], \tag{13}$$

where $\hat{H} = \left[\alpha^i\hat{P}_i - m\beta\right]$. Here the form of above equation is exactly same as the commutative case. Thus, from the form of the Dirac Hamiltonian, we can argue here that no noncommutative additional factors will appear in the Zitterbewegung term in the form of $\hat{X}^i(t)$, but through the change in form of the derivative.

The point we want to emphasise that the Dirac Hamiltonian retains the same form here as in the commutative case. This is because, the noncommutativity here is manifested through the action of the derivative, which is generalised to an adjoint action, acting through a commutator. Even the form of the Dirac equation is retained for the Moyal space-time as we had pointed before. Thus the noncommutativity of the Moyal space-time does not affect the Zitterbewegung and trembling motion of the particle has the same frequency as one has in the commutative case. Whereas, the κ-deformation is captured by augmenting the derivatives with some extra factors multiplied by the deformation parameter which results in additional terms with the original derivative and it results in the corresponding corrections in the Dirac equation, consequently in the Dirac Hamiltonian. We take up these issues in the next sections.

3 κ-deformed Dirac Theory

κ-Minkowski space-time[15, 16, 17, 18] which emerged in the low energy limit of quantum gravity models[19] is an example of noncommutative space-time whose coordinates obey Lie algebraic type of commutation relations, i.e.,

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = iC_{\mu\nu\lambda}\hat{x}^\lambda. \tag{14}$$

Here $C_{\mu\nu\lambda} = a_\mu \eta_{\nu\lambda} - a_\nu \eta_{\mu\lambda}$, $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1,1,1,1)$. Here a_μ's ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are real, dimensionful constants and characterize the deformation of the Minkowski space-time. For the κ-Minkowski space-time, $a_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $a_0 = a = \frac{1}{\kappa}$. Thus one can find the commutation relations between the coordinate of κ-Minkowski space-time as

$$[\hat{x}^i, \hat{x}^j] = 0, [\hat{x}^0, \hat{x}^i] = ia\hat{x}^i, \quad a = \frac{1}{\kappa}. \tag{15}$$

The κ-deformed space-time also naturally associated with doubly special relativity or deformed special relativity(DSR)[20, 21]. Various aspects of physical models incorporating DSR where analysed in[19, 22, 23].

Different aspects of κ-deformed space-time and their implications on various models were studied in recent times[16, 17, 18]. Construction and study of field theory models on κ-deformed space-time attracted wide attention in last couple of years[24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. As in Moyal space-time, the statistics of particles on κ-deformed space-time is related to the symmetry of the space-time. Since this symmetry is described by a Hopf algebra, the statistics of particles in the κ-deformed space-time is naturally connected to this Hopf algebra[29, 30, 31]. In some of these studies, κ-deformed field theory models were constructed using fields which are functions of κ-deformed space-time and differential calculus on κ-deformed space-time was used in analysing these models. Some authors took the approach, developed by S. Meljanac et al, where they first mapped the κ-deformed space-time coordinates (and momenta) to that of commutative space-time such that the defining relations in Eqn.(15) are satisfied[15]. Using this map, functions on κ-deformed space-time are mapped to commutative space-time. This allows one to map a theory on the κ-deformed space-time to an equivalent model in the commutative space-time[15]. κ-deformed electrodynamics was analysed using this approach in[32] and deformed geodesic equation was derived in[33]. In [9], κ-deformed Dirac equation was constructed and its non-relativistics limit was analysed. Modification to Newton's equation for a central force was studied in[34]. Certain bounds on the κ-deformation parameter were obtained in[34, 35].

In this paper, we use this second approach of obtaining an equivalent commutative theories corresponding to κ-deformed models and analyse these models using well established methods[15]. We now use this mapping of κ-deformed space-time coordinates to that of the commutative space-time and their derivatives obtained in[15]. Once this map is obtained, functions on κ-deformed space-time are mapped to corresponding functions in the commutative space-time. This mapping of the κ-deformed coordinates also map the generators of the Poincare algebra, written in terms of the κ-deformed

coordinates and their derivatives. The coordinates $\hat{x}_i$ and $\hat{x}_0$ are mapped to functions of $x_0,\ x_i$ and $\partial_0,\ \partial_i$ (which are coordinates of the commutative space-time and their derivatives) as follows[15]

$$\hat{x}_i = x_i\varphi(A), \quad \hat{x}_0 = x_0\psi(A) + iax_i\partial_i\gamma(A), \tag{16}$$

where $A = -ia\partial_0$.

The symmetry algebra of the underlying κ-space-time is known as the undeformed κ-Poincare algebra. The generators D_μ and $M_{\mu\nu}$ obey

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, D_\lambda] = \eta_{\nu\lambda}D_\mu - \eta_{\mu\lambda}D_\nu, \quad [D_\mu, D_\nu] = 0, \tag{17}$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\lambda\rho}] = \eta_{\mu\rho}M_{\nu\lambda} + \eta_{\nu\lambda}M_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho}M_{\mu\lambda} - \eta_{\mu\lambda}M_{\nu\rho}. \tag{18}$$

The explicit form of the above generators of the undeformed κ-Poincare algebra are given as

$$M_{ij} = x_i\partial_j - x_j\partial_i, \tag{19}$$

$$M_{i0} = x_i\partial_0\varphi\frac{e^{2A}-1}{2A} - x_0\partial_i\frac{1}{\varphi} + iax_i\nabla^2\frac{1}{2\varphi} - iax_k\partial_k\partial_i\frac{\gamma}{\varphi}. \tag{20}$$

and

$$D_i = \partial_i\frac{e^{-A}}{\varphi}, \quad D_0 = \partial_0\frac{\sinh A}{A} + ia\nabla^2\frac{e^{-A}}{2\varphi^2}. \tag{21}$$

The twisted coproduct of the generators $M_{\mu\nu}$ are given as

$$\triangle_\varphi(M_{ij}) = M_{ij}\otimes I + I\otimes M_{ij} = \triangle_0(M_{ij}), \tag{22}$$

$$\triangle_\varphi(M_{i0}) = M_{i0}\otimes I + e^A\otimes M_{i0} + ia\partial_j\frac{1}{\varphi(A)}\otimes M_{ij}. \tag{23}$$

The algebra defined in Eqns.(17,18) have the same form as the Poincare algebra in commutative space-time. But the generators of the undeformed κ-Poincare algebra are modified due to κ-deformation of the space-time. In the work reported in this section and next section, we use this undeformed κ-Poincare algebra. In the limit $a \to 0$, one get back the usual Poincare algebra.

The Casimir of this undeformed κ-Poincare algebra, $D_\mu D^\mu$ is expressed as

$$D_\mu D^\mu = \Box(1 + \frac{a^2}{4}\Box). \tag{24}$$

The explicit form of the $\Box$ operator is given by

$$\Box = \nabla^2\frac{e^{-A}}{\varphi^2} + \frac{2\partial_0^2}{A^2}(1-\cosh A). \tag{25}$$

In terms of Dirac derivatives (D_μ), κ-deformed Dirac equation, one can write

$$(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu + m)\Psi(x) = 0. \tag{26}$$

Now with the choice of the $\varphi = e^{-\frac{A}{2}}$, fermionic field Ψ appearing in the above equation can be express as[27]

$$\Psi(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\omega}} \sum_{s=1,2} \left(b_s(p) u_s(p) e^{-ipx} + d_s^\dagger(p) v_s(p) e^{ipx} \right). \tag{27}$$

Here b annihilate the particle and $d^\dagger$ create the anti-particle. u and v are the positive and negative energy spinors. Here the entire effect of the κ-deformation contain by the ω which is valid upto all order in the deformation parameter a as

$$\omega = \pm\frac{2}{a} \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{2}\sqrt{p_i^2 + K^2} \right), \tag{28}$$

where $K = \frac{2}{a^2} - \frac{2}{a^2}\sqrt{1 - a^2m^2}$. Note that in the limit $a \to 0$, we get back the commutative limit as, $\omega = \sqrt{p_i^2 + m^2}$. Here, also we note that the integrand $\int \frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2\omega}}$ is invariant under the action of the undeformed κ-Poincare algebra.

Now Eqn.(26) with the choice of $\varphi = e^{-\frac{A}{2}}$ can be re-write upto first order in deformation parameter a as

$$\left(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \frac{a\gamma^0}{2}\partial_i\partial_i - \frac{a\gamma^i}{2}\partial_0\partial_i + m \right) \Psi(x) = 0. \tag{29}$$

4 Zitterbewegung in κ-deformed space-time

In this section, we analyse that how does κ-deformation of space-time affect the Zitterbewegung[7, 8]. For this, we start with rewriting the κ-deformed Dirac Eqn.(29) as

$$i\frac{\partial\Psi(x)}{\partial t} = \left[\alpha^i p_i \left(1 - \frac{aE}{2} \right) - \beta m - \frac{a}{2} p_i p_i \right] \Psi(x). \tag{30}$$

Here the κ-deformed free Dirac Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \left[\alpha^i p_i \left(1 - \frac{aE}{2} \right) - \beta m - \frac{a}{2} p_i p_i \right], \tag{31}$$

where $\alpha^i = \gamma^0\gamma^i$, $\beta = \gamma^0$ and $E = \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 + m^2}$. These α^i and β are the matrices which satisfies the Dirac algebra as

$$\left\{ \alpha^i, \alpha^j \right\} = 2\delta^{ij} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3)$$

$$\left\{\alpha^i, \beta\right\} = 0, \quad (\alpha^i)^2 = \beta^2 = 1. \tag{32}$$

These matrices commute with momentum vector $\vec{p}$ and coordinate vector $\vec{x}$. The momentum vector $\vec{p}$ and coordinate vector $\vec{x}$ satisfies

$$[x^i, x^j] = 0 = [p^i, p^j], \quad [x^i, p^j] = i\delta^{ij}. \tag{33}$$

In the Heisenberg picture, any operator A obeys the equation

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = i[H, A]. \tag{34}$$

Thus, the time dependent of the position operator is given by

$$\frac{dx^i(t)}{dt} = i[H, x^i]. \tag{35}$$

Now using the κ-deformed Dirac Hamiltonian in the above equation, we get

$$\frac{dx^i(t)}{dt} = \left(1 - \frac{aE}{2}\right)\alpha^i - ap^i. \tag{36}$$

Here it is clear that the right hand side of the above equation gives the i^{th} component of the velocity operator and it is also clear that p^i commutes with the Hamiltonian H, hence p^i will not change with time. Now we define time dependent $\vec{\alpha}(t)$ and implement the Heisenberg picture

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{\alpha}(t) &= e^{iHt}\vec{\alpha}(0)e^{-iHt}, \\
\alpha^i(\delta t) &= e^{iH\delta t}\alpha^i e^{-iH\delta t}, \\
\alpha^i(\delta t) &= \alpha^i + i\delta t[H, \alpha^i].
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Using the κ-deformed Dirac Hamiltonian in Eqn.(37), we get

$$\alpha^i(\delta t) = \alpha^i - 2i\delta t\left[i\left(1 - \frac{aE}{2}\right)\sigma^{ij}p_j + m\gamma^i\right]. \tag{38}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
p^i - \alpha^i H &= p^i - \alpha^i\left[\alpha^j p_j\left(1 - \frac{aE}{2}\right) - \beta m - \frac{a}{2}p_j p_j\right], \\
&= -i\left(1 - \frac{aE}{2}\right)\sigma^{ij}p_j - m\gamma^i + \frac{aE}{2}p^i + \frac{a}{2}\alpha^i p_j p_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Now using Eqn.(39) in Eqn.(38), we find

$$\alpha^i(\delta t) = \alpha^i + 2i\delta t\left(p^i - \alpha^i H - \frac{aE}{2}p^i - \frac{a}{2}\alpha^i p_j p_j\right), \tag{40}$$

after differentiating both side with respect to time, we get

$$\frac{d\alpha^i}{dt} + 2i\left(H + \frac{a}{2}p_j p_j\right)\alpha^i = 2i\left(p^i - \frac{aE}{2}p^i\right). \tag{41}$$

By solving the above differential equation, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^i(t) &= p^i\left(1 - \frac{aE}{2}\right)\left(H + \frac{a}{2}p_j p_j\right)^{-1} \\ &+ \left[\alpha^i(0) - p^i\left(1 - \frac{aE}{2}\right)\left(H + \frac{a}{2}p_j p_j\right)^{-1}\right] e^{-2i\left(H + \frac{a}{2}p_j p_j\right)t}.\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Now substituting above equation in Eqn.(36) and then integrating with respect to time, we get

$$\begin{aligned}x^i(t) &= x^i(0) - ap^i t + p^i(1 - aE)\left(H + \frac{a}{2}p_j p_j\right)^{-1} t \\ &+ \frac{i}{2}\left(1 - \frac{aE}{2}\right)\left[\alpha^i(0) - p^i\left(1 - \frac{aE}{2}\right)\left(H + \frac{a}{2}p_j p_j\right)^{-1}\right] \\ &\times \left(H + \frac{a}{2}p_j p_j\right)^{-1}\left(e^{-2i\left(H + \frac{a}{2}p_j p_j\right)t} - 1\right),\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

also, we can re-write above equation as

$$\begin{aligned}x^i(t) &= x^i(0) - ap^i t + p^i(1 - aE){H'}^{-1} t \\ &+ \frac{i}{2}\left(1 - \frac{aE}{2}\right)\left[\alpha^i(0) - p^i\left(1 - \frac{aE}{2}\right){H'}^{-1}\right] \\ &\times {H'}^{-1}\left(e^{-2iH't} - 1\right),\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where, $H' = \left[\alpha^i p_i\left(1 - \frac{aE}{2}\right) - \beta m\right]$. Thus, from Eqn.(44), it is clear that the frequency of the trembling motion of the position of electron is lesser in comparison to the commutative case. This is happening due to the κ-deformation of the space-time. Here note that in the limit $a \to 0$, we get back the commutative result. But here note that the position of the relativistic particle in the Moyal space-time (see Eqn.(13)) satisfy the Heisenberg algebra given in Eqn.(3) and the position of the relativistic particle in the κ-deformed space-time (see Eqn.(44)) satisfy the same Heisenberg algebra as in the commutative case given in Eqn.(33).

5 Magueijo-Smolin approach of Doubly Special Relativity and Effect on Zitterbewegung

5.1 DSR Dirac equation in Magueiji-Smolin approach

In this sub-section, we summarise the Magueijo-Smolin approach and one obtains the DSR Dirac equation in the MS base[36, 37]. The Planck length scale plays an important role in the micro-level physics like the quantum gravity, string theory and the quantum loop gravity. The special theory of relativity (STR) is missing this fundamental length scale because in STR two different observer does not measure same length at same time. But if l_p is the fundamental length scale then the Planck length l_p must have the same value in all inertial frames but this is contradictory to STR due to length contraction. Based on this idea, Amelino-Camelia[38] and followed by Magueijo-Smolin[39] developed an extended form of special theory of relativity, known as doubly special relativity. This extension requires another fundamental constant, in addition to the speed of light c. This second fundamental constant is expected to be related to the Planck length l_p or the Planck energy scale $\kappa \propto \frac{1}{l_p}$ (or Planck energy $E_p = \sqrt{\frac{c^5\hbar}{G}}$), which implies the microscopic structure of the space-time, called the κ-Minkowski space-time.

The general idea of quantization in commutative quantum mechanics is to introduce a correspondence principle between classical and quantum variables. This is the general strategy to make the transition from the classical theory to its quantum analogue and one can also make such a transition here for the κ-deformed Heisenberg algebra and define a deformed "correspondence principle" for the κ-Minkowski space[37]. Here, we use $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ = diag(-1,1,1,1).

For the classical theory, the phase space variables for the Minkowski space x^μ and p^ν obeys the classical Heisenberg algebra with Poisson brackets,

$$\{x^\mu, x^\nu\} = 0; \quad \{x^\mu, p^\nu\} = \eta^{\mu\nu}; \quad \{p^\mu, p^\nu\} = 0. \tag{45}$$

The correspondence between the classical phase space variables and their quantum operator analogue is established as,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}^\mu\psi(x) &= x^\mu\psi(x) \\ \hat{p}^\mu\psi(x) &= -i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi(x)}{\partial x_\mu} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

and these operators now obey the quantum Heisenberg algebra

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] = 0; \quad [\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}^\nu] = i\hbar\eta^{\mu\nu}; \quad [\hat{p}^\mu, \hat{p}^\nu] = 0. \tag{47}$$

For the κ-deformed Minkowski space the classical Heisenberg algebra gets deformed[23, 37] as,

$$\{x^\mu, x^\nu\} = \lambda\left(x^\mu\eta^{0\nu} - x^\nu\eta^{0\mu}\right); \quad \{x^\mu, p^\nu\} = \eta^{\mu\nu}; \quad \{p^\mu, p^\nu\} = 0, \tag{48}$$

where $\lambda \simeq \frac{1}{E_p}$ is the deformation parameter, has the dimension of length and is the order of Planck length scale. Now for this deformed classical Poisson brackets the deformed correspondence principle is defined as[37],

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}^\mu\psi(x) &= \left(x^\mu - i\hbar\lambda\eta^{0\mu}x^\nu\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}\right)\psi(x) \\ \hat{p}^\mu\psi(x) &= -i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi(x)}{\partial x_\mu} \end{aligned} . \tag{49}$$

And these operators then can be shown to follow the κ-deformed Heisenberg algebra for the κ-Minkowski space.

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] = i\hbar\lambda\left(\hat{x}^\mu\eta^{0\nu} - \hat{x}^\nu\eta^{0\mu}\right); \; [\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}^\nu] = -i\hbar\left(-\eta^{\mu\nu} + \lambda\hat{p}^\nu\eta^{0\mu}\right); \; [\hat{p}^\mu, \hat{p}^\nu] = 0. \tag{50}$$

This deformed correspondence now allows us to derive the Dirac equation from the Magueijo-Smolin dispersion relation in the same way as is taken for commutative quantum mechanics. We begin with the Maguiejo-Smolin relation

$$E^2 = p^2c^2 + m^2c^4\left(1 - \frac{E}{E_p}\right)^2. \tag{51}$$

From the deformed "correspondence principle" (49) we get the Klein-Gordon,

$$\left[-\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}(1 - \frac{i\hbar}{E_p}\frac{\partial}{\partial t})\right)^2\right]\phi(\vec{x}, t) = -\Delta\phi(\vec{x}, t). \tag{52}$$

It can also be "factorised" to derive the Dirac equation for the κ-Minkowski space in the MS base[37],

$$\left[\left(1 + \frac{mc}{E_p}\beta\right)i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i\vec{\alpha}.\vec{\nabla} + \frac{mc}{\hbar}\beta\right]\Psi(\vec{x}, t) = 0. \tag{53}$$

This is the modified Dirac equation in the MS approach. In the limit $E_p \to \infty$, one gets back the result in the commutative space-time. We will use this modified Dirac equation in our analysis of the Zitterbewegung problem in the Maguiejo-Smolin energy dispersion relation base.

5.2 Effect on Zitterbewegung in Magueijo-Smolin base

In this sub-section, we find that how does MS approach of DSR effect on Zitterbewegung. Starting from the deformed dispersion relation, due to MS approach of the DSR[36, 37]

$$E^2 = |\mathbf{p}|^2 + m^2 \left(1 - \frac{E}{E_p}\right)^2, \tag{54}$$

where E_p is the Planck energy and $E = \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 + m^2}$, is the energy of the particle.

We start with the DSR Dirac equation in the position space in MS base[36, 37] and corresponding deformed dispersion relation given in above equation. The DSR Dirac equation in MS base given as

$$\left[\left(1 + \frac{m\beta}{E_p}\right) i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i\alpha^i \partial_i + m\beta\right] \Psi = 0. \tag{55}$$

In the limit $E_p \to \infty$, we get back the commutative result. We can rewrite the above deformed Dirac equation as

$$i\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \left[\alpha^i p_i - \beta m \left(1 - \frac{E}{E_p}\right)\right] \Psi. \tag{56}$$

Here, the Modified Dirac Hamiltonian in the MS base given as

$$H_{ms} = \alpha^i p_i - \beta m \left(1 - \frac{E}{E_p}\right), \tag{57}$$

where α^i and β are the Dirac matrices and satisfies the Dirac algebra given in Eqn.(32). As we have done the calculation in the section 4. Here also, we proceed in the similar manner and with the use of the Dirac Hamiltonian in the MS basis, we get

$$\frac{x^i(t)}{dt} = \alpha^i. \tag{58}$$

Now time dependent $\vec{\alpha}(t)$ and implement the Heisenberg picture as

$$\vec{\alpha}(t) = e^{iH_{ms}t}\vec{\alpha}(0)e^{-iH_{ms}t},$$

$$\alpha^i(\delta t) = \alpha^i + i\delta t[H_{ms}, \alpha^i]. \tag{59}$$

Now using modified Hamiltonian in the MS basis in the above equation, we get

$$\alpha^i(\delta t) = \alpha^i - 2i\delta t \left[i\sigma^{ij}p_j + m\gamma^i \left(1 - \frac{E}{E_p}\right)\right], \tag{60}$$

and

$$p^i - \alpha^i H_{ms} = -i\sigma^{ij}p_j - m\gamma^i\left(1 - \frac{E}{E_p}\right). \tag{61}$$

Then

$$\alpha^i(\delta t) = \alpha^i + 2i\delta t(p^i - \alpha^i H_{ms}), \tag{62}$$

now differentiate with respect to time, we find

$$\frac{d\alpha^i}{dt} + 2i\alpha^i H_{ms} = 2ip^i \tag{63}$$

After solving the above differential equation, we get

$$\alpha^i(t) = p^i H_{ms}^{-1} + \left(\alpha^i(0) - p^i H_{ms}^{-1}\right) e^{-2iH_{ms}t}. \tag{64}$$

Now substitute above equation in Eqn.(58) and integrate, we find

$$\begin{aligned} x^i(t) &= x^i(0) + p^i H_{ms}^{-1} t & (65)\\ &+ \frac{1}{2} i\left(\alpha^i(0) - p^i H_{ms}^{-1}\right) H_{ms}^{-1}\left(e^{-2iH_{ms}t} - 1\right), & (66) \end{aligned}$$

where, $H_{ms} = \alpha^i p_i - \beta m\left(1 - \frac{E}{E_p}\right)$ and in the limit $E_p \to \infty$, we get back the commutative result. As it is clear from Eqn.(66) and the form of the Hamiltonian H_{ms} that the frequency of the trembling motion of the electron in this case is larger than the frequency of the trembling motion of the electron in the commutative case. This happens because the deformation term in the modified Hamiltonian H_{ms} (see Eqn.(57)) has positive sign and it increases the frequency of the oscillation in Eqn.(66). The position of the relativistic particle (see Eqn.(66)) satisfy the Heisenberg algebra same as in the commutative case given in Eqn.(33). And as we saw, the position of the relativistic particle in the κ-deformed space-time also satisfies the same algebra but the frequency of the trembling motion of the particle was lesser than commutative case upto first order in the deformation parameter. Again, this is explained as the coefficient of the deformation parameter a in the Hamiltonian H (see Eqn.(31)) carries a negative sign. However, this may not be true for the higher order in the deformation parameter a.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, first we presented the result of our investigations and first, we saw that how did noncommutativity of Moyal space-time not affect the Zitterbewegung. Secondly, we investigated that how did κ-deformation of

the space-time affect the trembling motion of the relativistic particle at time t. For calculating this, we started with the κ-deformed Dirac equation valid upto first order in the deformation parameter a. Using the Heisenberg equation for the position operator, we calculated the position of the relativistic particle at time t which consisted of an initial position term, a term due to the motion linearly proportional to time and the unexpected fast oscillating term. This fast oscillating term is called Zitterbewegung which is modified due to the κ-deformation of the space-time. And finally, we presented that how did MS approach of the DSR affect the Zitterbewegung and we found that the frequency of these oscillations is larger than the frequency in the commutative space-time but due to κ-deformation of the space-time frequency of these oscillations was less than the frequency in the commutative space-time upto first order in the deformation parameter a.

Acknowledgements:

We would like to thank Prof. Biswajit Chakraborty for suggestions and discussions.

References

[1] M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, Noncommutative field theory, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, (2001) 977 and references therein.

[2] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, String Theory and Noncommutative Geometry, JHEP **9909** (1999) 032.

[3] J. de Boer, P. A. Grassi and P. vanNieuwenhuizen, Non-commutative superspace from string theory, Phys.Lett. **B574** (2003) 98.

[4] R. J. Szabo, Quantum Field Theory on Noncommutative Spaces, Phys. Rep. **378** (2003) 207.

[5] H. S. Snyder, Quantized Space-Time, Phys. Rev. **71** (1947) 38.

[6] L. Lamata, J. Leon, T. Schatz and E. Solano, Dirac Equation and Quantum Relativistic Effects in a Single Trapped Ion, PRL **98** (2007) 253005.

[7] B. G. Sidharth, Revisiting Zitterbewegung, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **48** (2009) 497-506.

[8] J. A. Lock, The Zitterbewegung of a free localized Dirac particle, Am. J. Phys. **47** (1979) 797.

[9] E. Harikumar, M. Sivakumar, N. Srinivas, κ-deformed Dirac equation, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A26** (2011) 1103.

[10] R. Verma, Dirac Equation in κ-Minkowski space-time, arXiv:1410.8680 [hep-th].

[11] F. G. Scholtz, B. Chakraborty, J. Govaerts and S. Vaidya, Spectrum of the non-commutative spherical well, J.Phys. **A40** (2007) 14581-14592.

[12] F G Scholtz, L Gouba, A Hafver and C M Rohwer, Formulation, Interpretation and Application of non-Commutative Quantum Mechanics, J. Phys. **A42** (2009) 175303.

[13] D. Sinha, B. Chakraborty and F. G. Scholtz, Noncommutative quantum mechanics in three dimensions and rotational symmetry, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor **45** (2012) 105308.

[14] P. H. Williams and F. G. Scholtz, A manifestly Lorentz covariant, interacting and non-commutative Dirac equation, arXiv: 1512.04253 [hep-th].

[15] S. Meljanac and M. Stojic, New realizations of Lie algebra κ-deformed Euclidean space, Eur. Phys. J. **C47** (2006) 531.

[16] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg, New quantum Poincare algebra and κ-deformed field theory, Phys. Lett. **B293** (1992) 344.

[17] J. Lukierski and H. Ruegg, Quantum kappa-Poincare in Any Dimensions, Phys. Lett. **B329** (1994) 189.

[18] J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg and W. J. Zakrzewski, Classical and Quantum Mechanics of Free Relativistic Systems, Annals Phys. **243** (1995) 90.

[19] G. Amelino-Camelia, L. Smolin and A. Starodubtsev, Quantum symmetry, the cosmological constant and Planck scale phenomenology, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) 3095.

[20] G. Amelino-Camelia, Testable scenario for relativity with minimum-length, Phys. Lett. **B510** (2001) 255.

[21] J. Kowalski-Glikman and S. Nowak, Doubly special relativity theories as different bases of κ-Poincare algebra, Phys. Lett. **B539** (2002) 126.

[22] M. Daszkiewicz, K. Imilkowska, J. Kowalski-Glikman and S. Nowak, Scalar field theory on κ-Minkowski space-time and Doubly Special Relativity, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A20** (2005) 4925.

[23] S. Ghosh, A Lagrangian for DSR particle and the role of noncommutativity, Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 084019.

[24] M. Dimitrijevic, L. Jonke, L. MĂśller, E. Tsouchnika, J. Wess and M. Wohlgenannt, Deformed Field Theory on kappa-spacetime, Eur. Phys. J. **C31** (2003) 129.

[25] M. Dimitrijevic, L. Jonke, L. Moeller, E. Tsouchnika, J. Wess and M. Wohlgenannt, Field theory on kappa-spacetime, Czech.J.Phys. **54** (2004) 1243.

[26] E. Harikumar, A. K. Kapoor and R. Verma, Uniformly accelerating observer in kappa-deformed space-time, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 045022.

[27] R. Verma, Twisted Fermionic Oscillator Algebra in kappa-Minkowski Spacetime, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **130** (2015) no.4, 79.

[28] E. Harikumar and R. Verma, Uniformly accelerated detector in the kappa-deformed Dirac vacuum, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A28** (2013) 1350063.

[29] C. A. S. Young and R. Zegers, Covariant particle statistics and intertwiners of the kappa-deformed Poincare algebra, Nucl. Phys. **B797** (2008) 537.

[30] T. R. Govindarajan, K. S. Gupta, E. Harikumar, S. Meljanac and D. Meljanac, Twisted Statistics in kappa-Minkowski Spacetime, Phys .Rev. **D77** (2008) 105010.

[31] T. R. Govindarajan, K. S. Gupta, E. Harikumar, S. Meljanac and D. Meljanac, Deformed Oscillator Algebras and QFT in kappa-Minkowski Spacetime, Phys. Rev. **D80** (2009) 025014.

[32] E. Harikumar, T. Juric and S. Meljanac, Electrodynamics on kappa-Minkowski space-time, Phys. Rev. **D84** (2011) 085020.

[33] E. Harikumar, T. Juric and S. Meljanac, Geodesic equation in κ-Minkowski spacetime, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 045002.

[34] E. Harikumar and A. K. Kapoor, Newton's Equation on the kappa spacetime and the Kepler problem, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A25** (2010) 2991.

[35] P. A. Bolokhov and M. Pospelov, Low-energy constraints on kappa-Minkowski extension of the Standard Model, Phys. Lett. **B677** (2009) 160.

[36] Pierre Gosselin, Alain Berard, Herve Mohrbach and Subir Ghosh, Berry phase effects in the dynamics of Dirac electrons in doubly special relativty framework, Physics Letters **B** 660 (2008) 267-274.

[37] Z. Belhadi, F. Menas, A. Berard, P. Gosselin and H. Mohrbach, Dirac Equation in the Magueijo-Smolin Approach of Double Special Relativity arXiv:1106.1302 [hep-th].

[38] G. Amelino-Camelia, Nature 418 34 (2002); Phys. Lett. **B510** (2001) 255; Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A11** (2002) 35.

[39] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 190403; Phys. Rev. **D67** (2003) 044017.